	EXHIBIT C Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING
3	STEPHANIE WADSWORTH, Individually and as Parent and Legal Guardian of W.W., K.W., G.W., and L.W., minor children;
4	and MATTHEW WADSWORTH,
5	Plaintiffs,
6	vs. No. 2:23-CV-00118-NDF
7	WALMART, INC. AND JETSON ELECTRIC BIKES, LLC,
8	Defendants.
10	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CORYN KREMERS TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
11	ON MAY 31, 2024, BEGINNING AT 9:26 A.M. IN BENTONVILLE, ARKANSAS
12	REPORTED BY KERRI PIANALTO, CCR
13	APPEARANCES:
14	By Zoom on behalf of the PLAINTIFFS
15	Rudwin Ayala MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.
16	20 North Orange Avenue, Suite 1600
1 7	Orlando, Florida 32801 407-420-1414
17	rayala@forthepeople.com
18	
19	On behalf of the DEFENDANTS
20	Eugene M. LaFlamme
	MCCOY LEAVITT LASKEY, LLC
21	N19 W24200 Riverwood Drive, Suite 125
2.2	Waukesha, Wisconsin 53188
22	262-522-7026 elaflamme@mlllaw.com
23	CTALIAMMICWINITITAW. COM
24	Also present for Walmart: Matthew Moncur
25	Videographer: Payton Dawson

Page 58 1 have been involved in sourcing product through Jetson. 2 MR. LAFLAMME: Rudy, are you at a good breaking 3 point? MR. AYALA: Yeah. I was going to say, let's 4 5 take a few minutes. I'm sure the court reporter certainly could use it. How's coming back at 12:15? That's about 6 7 ten minutes. MR. LAFLAMME: Ten minutes is fine. 8 9 MR. AYALA: Okay. Thanks. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record at 11:06 a.m. 11 12 (Short break from 11:06 a.m. to 11:17 a.m.) 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 14 11:17 a.m. 15 (BY MR. AYALA) Ma'am, does Walmart have a 16 relationship with Testcoo Laboratories? That's 17 T-e-s-t-c-o-o? That organization is not one of Walmart's 18 approved laboratories. 19 20 Are you aware that that organization, that 21 laboratory is where Jetson would have its third-party 22 inspections, annual inspections for purposes of certification of UL 2272 performed on hoverboards? 23 MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form, mischaracterizes 24 25 prior testimony. Go ahead.

Page 59

A I would not have visibility to who Jetson uses for ongoing inspections relating to their UL 2272 listing.

- Q (BY MR. AYALA) And in particular in taking the deposition of their corporate representative, Mr. Hussein testified that Testcoo is where this particular product was inspected for purposes of UL 2272. You're not aware of that?
 - MR. LAFLAMME: Same objections. Go ahead.
- A No. Walmart simply requires the product to meet, in this instance to be listed, against UL 2272. The conditions surrounding that, we don't have any knowledge of.
- Q (BY MR. AYALA) Okay. And what, as you say, the conditions, but what Testcoo either does or doesn't do for purposes of satisfying the UL 2272 certification requirements, Walmart is unaware of?
 - A Correct.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q Walmart's testing program has no specific requirements that a product be UL 2272 inspected and tested in the United States?
- A No, sir. Walmart simply requires the product to be listed against UL 2272. We understand that those listing bodies have presences all across the globe.
- Q Walmart has no specific requirements relating to unapproved third-party lab organizations as it relates to

Page 60 1 UL 2272 certification, correct? 2 MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form. Go ahead, if you 3 understood it. I don't know if I understood that question. 4 5 0 (BY MR. AYALA) Sure. Walmart does not endeavor to research or audit any unapproved third-party lab 6 7 organizations to determine whether they satisfy UL 2272 requirements as a test product, correct? 8 9 I'm still not sure I understood the question. 10 Walmart requires the product that we're purchasing, 11 specifically in this instance hoverboard, to be listed 12 against the UL 2272 standard. Evidence of that listing, 13 including the organization that listed it, are reviewed by 14 a Walmart third-party approved laboratory as part of our 15 testing program. Beyond that, we have no interactions 16 with any individual manufacturer's facility audits. 17 Who at Intertek is the point of contact for Walmart as it relates to testing and inspection of Jetson 18 hoverboards? 19 20 I don't know if Intertek has any specific 2.1 individual who manages a relationship with Jetson. 22 would be a question better suited for Intertek. Who at SGS is the point of contact as it relates 23 0 24 to testing and inspection of Jetson hoverboards?

Veritext Legal Solutions

25

Again, Walmart's relationship with third-party

Page 68 1 confirmed no impact. If you have it, I'm happy to review 2 it. 3 Have you seen this recall notice put out by the 0 CPSC relating to the Jetson Roque model that we've been 5 talking about? I can see it on your screen. I've seen our 6 7 indication in our files that we reviewed it for impact. Okay. But you don't remember sitting here today 8 0 9 that the hazard listed by the CPSC that led to this recall 10 was that the lithium-ion battery pack could overheat 11 posing a fire hazard? 12 I do not recall that, but I do see that that's 13 what's stated on this press release. 14 Okay. Prior to this recall, which has a recall 15 date of March 30th, 2023, prior to this recall, were you 16 familiar with CPSC safety alerts or warnings relating to 17 hoverboards? I believe we have seen CPSC guidance on 18 lithium-ion battery-containing products like 19 20 micro-mobility products. Walmart has -- has been 21 responsive to that guidance. We require in absence of 22 regulatory requirement that the product not only meet those safety standards intended to address these types of 23 24 products but are listed against them.

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

Okay. For instance, in November of 2017, CPSC

25

Page 69 1 issued a safety alert relating to hoverboard safety and in 2 particular it related to the risk of fire associated with 3 the lithium-ion batteries. Are you familiar with that safety alert? 5 I do believe I recall that safety alert. Again, if you have it up, I'm happy -- or if you have it 6 7 available, I'm happy to review it with you. This was previously marked as Exhibit 54 to 8 9 Mr. Hussein's deposition. It's a CPSC safety alert titled 10 Hoverboard Safety Alert. Have you ever seen this before? 11 And I can scroll down if you'd like me to. 12 Yeah, could you zoom in just a little? It's 13 really tiny on my screen. 14 0 Sure. 15 Perfect. Could you scroll down to the bottom of 16 the document? 17 And it's two pages. I can go to the next page 18 if you'd like me to. 19 Yes. Yes, I believe I've seen this document 20 before. 21 Would you have received it in the same or 22 similar manner as you receive some of those recall notices by way of email from the CPSC? 23 That I can't answer. I don't know if we would 24 25 have picked that up from the CPSC site or if it would have

Page 70 1 been sent. CPSC has a subscription service that we 2 subscribe to where they send us recall announcements and press releases to our team inbox. I'm unsure if this 3 would have been sent through that channel or not. 5 What, if anything, did Walmart do in response to receipt of this CPSC safety alert from November of 2017? 6 7 Walmart has required that the product that we sell, specifically hoverboards that are at issue today, be 8 9 listed to UL 2272 even prior to this guidance from the 10 CPSC. 11 Do you know when the UL 2272 certification was 12 initiated or created? 13 MR. LAFLAMME: Did you say when? 14 MR. AYALA: Yes, sir. 15 Are you asking for when the standard was Α 16 developed or when Walmart began requiring it? 17 (BY MR. AYALA) First when the -- when this -these guidelines or "standard" by UL 2272 was implemented? 18 19 Α I am not aware. 20 And when did Walmart begin to require 21 hoverboards to be UL 2272 certified or compliant? 22 I believe we've had that in our program Α requirements since late 2016, early 2017. 23 24 Do you understand that UL 2272 -- well, strike 25 that.

Page 71

This safety alert states that there have been more than 250 self-balancing scooter hoverboard incidents relating to fires or overheating. This is at least as of November 2017 when they put out the safety alert. Were you, meaning Walmart, aware of instances of hoverboard fires or overheating as of this date?

A I can't answer to when Walmart might've become aware. We're a very large organization. I think Walmart took a position late 2016, early 2017 to proactively in absence of a regulatory requirement adopt a Walmart standard requiring these types of product to be listed to 2272, which is the industry safety standard.

Q Why did Walmart take that stance?

A I have no information regarding how that decision was made. I wasn't part of the team at that time. It's not documented.

Q As the senior director of the U.S. product safety and compliance program for the last two and a half years and as a prior associate or manager of the U.S. product safety and compliance team, would you have been aware, regardless of exactly when, but would you have been aware of all of these instances of hoverboard fires and overheating?

A I don't know what you mean by all of these instances. Walmart is aware of potential overheating

Page 72

associated with the batteries used in these types of product. We have proactively in absence of regulatory requirements adopted a Walmart standard requiring these products to be listed against the industry safety standard as a result.

Q Well, what I'm referring to as all of these instances is what the CPSC has described as more than 250 hoverboard incidents. Would you have been aware that those incidents relating to fires or overheating in particular related to the lithium-ion batteries that were manufactured in China?

MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form.

A I don't know if Walmart would have any information regarding any of the source of the component parts of products that are alluded to in this 250 incidents by the CPSC.

Q (BY MR. AYALA) Walmart didn't do anything to investigate further what the CPSC was referring to more than 250 incidents of fires and overheating in hoverboards, correct?

A Since Walmart has -- had already at the time of this alert proactively adopted a requirement for product that we were selling to be listed against the standard, I don't know as a retailer that we have any expertise to do anything further.

2.1

Page 73 1 Despite not knowing whether as a retailer 2 Walmart has the expertise to do anything further, Walmart 3 did not do anything further, correct? MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form. 4 5 Α Walmart -- Walmart continues to require that the product we sell be listed against UL 2272, which is the 6 7 industry safety standard for these types of product that are battery-powered. 8 9 (BY MR. AYALA) Yes, ma'am. But you stated that 10 Walmart did that even before this safety alert went out, 11 correct? 12 Α Correct. 13 Q I must have missed your response. I'm sorry, I said correct. 14 15 Okay. And so my question was, what, if 0 16 anything, Walmart did following receipt of this safety 17 alert from the CPSC? We continued to drive a requirement for products 18 sold by Walmart to be listed against the industry accepted 19 20 safety standard. 21 In reviewing this CPSC safety alert relating to 22 hoverboards, did Walmart do anything to gain any type of clarification on this last bullet point on the screen that 23 states that even UL 2272 compliance cannot quarantee that 24

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

a hoverboard will not overheat or catch fire?

25

2.1

Page 74

MR. LAFLAMME: Are you asking her if that's what the document states?

MR. AYALA: No. I'm asking her if Walmart did anything further to investigate that assertion by the CPSC?

A Walmart doesn't have the expertise to investigate component level failures in product that we're making available for sale. We continued to require voluntarily that all the product in our assortment met -- not only met, but was listed against UL 2272. We have engaged with the CPSC, they understand our position. We would have been responsive to any additional guidance or recommendations from the CPSC, but we continue to rely on our suppliers and manufacturers and their expertise in supplying these products.

Q (BY MR. AYALA) But Walmart did not reach out to any of its approved labs to confirm whether or not this statement by the CPSC was true, that even with UL 2272 compliance, the hoverboard could still catch fire or overheat, correct?

A Walmart did not reach out to further investigate that statement by the CPSC. We continue to drive a listing requirement for our product against UL 2272. Had there been additional guidance provided either from CPSC and based on their safety expertise or any of our

Page 75

manufacturers and suppliers, we would have been responsive to that guidance. We have not received any.

Q Was it concerning to Walmart that even with a UL 2272 certification that the hoverboards, including those sold at Walmart, could still overheat and catch fire?

MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form. Go ahead.

A As a retailer, Walmart has taken a proactive stance to ensure that our -- that the products that we're selling are listed against and compliant with the industry safety standard. We don't have the expertise to understand what beyond being responsive to those industry standards or to regulatory guidance in this space would be appropriate.

Q (BY MR. AYALA) I appreciate that answer, ma'am, but it doesn't answer my question. My question to you was, was Walmart concerned as it received and reviewed this CPSC safety alert that even with a UL 2272 certification that hoverboards, including those sold at Walmart, could still overheat or catch fire?

MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form, asked and answered. Go ahead.

A As a retailer, Walmart is dependent upon the expertise that existed either within the industry standard setting group, in this instance UL, or the CPSC in assessing effectiveness of a standard. We continue to

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 76

305-376-8800

require the product in our assortment to be listed against UL 2272 which continues to be the recognized safety standard for these product.

(BY MR. AYALA) But Walmart was satisfied that if the hoverboards it was selling were UL 2272 certified, that they were safe enough to list and continue to sell at Walmart brick and mortars as well as online?

MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form. Go ahead.

Α Walmart has proactively adopted a requirement for all the product in our assortment to be listed against the industry safety standard which is UL 2272 for this product. We have not received any guidance from the regulatory authority, in this case CPSC, or UL advising any alternate standard that would apply or updates that were necessary.

(BY MR. AYALA) So Walmart only makes changes to the safety requirements of products sold if a regulatory authority requires it?

Walmart doesn't have the expertise to assess safety standards across the broad assortment of product that we're making available for sale. If a supplier felt like UL 2272 in this instance wasn't the appropriate standard and they wanted to take additional measures, that's their expertise, that's their responsibility. the CPSC felt there was additional guidance that needed to

800-726-7007

Page 77

be done, we would have been responsive to whatever the next level of guidance that they put out was. As we sit here today, 2272 is the safety standard that's recognized for this product.

Q Okay. And I understand, as you've said it a few times, that Walmart doesn't have the expertise. I get that. I get that. And so Walmart only relies upon the UL 2272 certification and it relies on everybody else, including the manufacturer, the distributor of a product, and the laboratories to advise Walmart whether anything additional should be done prior to listing a product for sale, correct?

A Correct. As a retailer, that's our position. We are not experts in the product.

Q And as a retailer, Walmart has no responsibility to the consumer to whom it's selling its products with regards to whether additional testing requirements or standards should be implemented, correct?

MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form.

A Walmart has proactively taken a stance to require that the product that is being supplied to us be listed against UL 2272, which is the recognized safety standard. If there are additional design changes, you know, whatever that looks like, testing that our manufacturers feel like is appropriate, we encourage them

Page 78

to do that. We're relying on their expertise.

Q (BY MR. AYALA) Right. And so my question was different. My question was that Walmart has no responsibility directly to the consumer in ensuring that the products that it is selling are safe for their use and consumption other than just confirming that hoverboards are UL 2272 compliant?

MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form, asked and answered as well. Go ahead.

A Walmart has out of concern for consumer safety driven a requirement for products that we're making available for sale in absence of any regulatory requirement. We are requiring our assortment to be listed UL 2272, which is the safety standard for these products.

Q (BY MR. AYALA) Walmart knows that UL 2272 certified hoverboards still can catch on fire and overheat, correct?

MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form, calls for speculation.

A Walmart understands that based on the document we're looking at today from the CPSC, the CPSC has acknowledged that compliance with UL 2272 cannot guarantee that a hoverboard will not overheat or catch fire. We have no further information than that.

Q (BY MR. AYALA) Nothing prevented Walmart from

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Page 79

pulling or not selling hoverboards at its stores, correct?

A Walmart would have been responsive to any indication from a supplier that the product should be discontinued. Any indication from a regulatory authority that a specific product or group of products was -- posed a safety risk and would have removed them from sale.

Q Wasn't my question, ma'am. My question was, nothing prevented Walmart from initiating a stop sell or from pulling hoverboards from its shelves, correct?

MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form, asked and answered. Go ahead.

A Walmart removes product due to safety concern at the response and expertise of either a supplier or a regulatory authority.

Q (BY MR. AYALA) Got it. Walmart only pulls products when it's told by somebody else, correct?

MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form.

A Walmart does not determine the safety of any of the products that we sell. We're reliant on the manufacturers, suppliers and the regulatory authorities.

Q (BY MR. AYALA) Do you know the process by which CPSC goes about issuing safety alerts like the one we just reviewed?

A Are you asking do I understand what it takes for the CPSC to develop content for a safety alert? The

Page 80 1 answer is, no, I don't know. 2 Okay. So did you understand my question, ma'am? 3 If that was your question, the answer is no. that wasn't your question, I'm happy to answer it again. 5 0 Yeah, that was my question. Has Walmart ever pulled a product from its shelves without the guidance or 6 7 requirement of CPSC or a regulatory agency? Pulled product from our shelf based on -- I'm 8 sorry? 10 Due to safety concerns. 11 We would be responsive from a safety perspective 12 to removing product that was indicated as from a 13 third-party test lab as a result of our testing 14 verification, from a supplier or manufacturer, as well as 15 guidance or education from a regulatory authority. 16 Okay. So only when you receive guidance from a 17 regulatory authority, from the testing labs, or from the supplier or manufacturer directly will Walmart consider 18 pulling a product over a safety concern from its shelves? 19 20 MR. LAFLAMME: Object to form. Go ahead. 2.1 Those are our primary indications that would 22 lead us to remove a product for safety concerns. (BY MR. AYALA) Walmart has never pulled a 23 Q product from its shelves over safety concerns on its own 24 25 volition outside of receiving any information from a

Page 81 1 supplier, manufacturer, testing labs, or a regulatory 2 agency, correct? 3 To my knowledge, that is not how we would determine product removals for safety reasons. 5 So am I correct in my question, that Walmart has never pulled a product on its own volition or 6 7 determination outside of any concerns that was received from a manufacturer, supplier, testing lab, or regulatory 8 agency? 10 To my knowledge, Walmart has never made an 11 independent determination of the safety of a product that 12 resulted in us -- in absence of supplier, manufacturer, or 13 regulatory guidance, lab testing results to pull a product. 14 15 Does Walmart review warning or safety labels 16 affixed to hoverboards? 17 Walmart does not review product warning or labels associated with any of their product that we're 18 19 making available for sale. Review of any required warning 20 labels language is part of our product testing 21 requirements. 22 Does Walmart require its approved testing labs to verify that warning labels are affixed to products, in 23 24 this case --25 If warning labels are required, either through